

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/567,472 02		02/07/2006	Petr Dobrovolny	J187-029 US	9391
21706	7590	11/03/2006		EXAMINER	
NOTARO .			AULAKH, CHARANJIT		
100 DUTCH SUITE 110	I HILL F	ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ORANGEB	URG, N	Y 10962-2100		1625	
				DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)  DOBROVOLNY, PETR				
		10/567,	10/567,472 DOBR						
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit					
			t S. Aulakh	1625					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on ti	he cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MAILING IN	NG DATE OF T CFR 1.136(a). In no e tion. period will apply and y statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MO oplication to become A	ICATION.  reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, ,				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	) .		•					
2a)□		This action is	non-final.						
3)□	,								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims			·					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.								
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election	requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		) objected to	by the Examiner					
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection t		·						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		•	` <b>`</b>	CFR 1 121(d)				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			·					
12)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreian priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. 8	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:			3 ( . ) ( . ) ( . ) .					
•	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have be	en received.						
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the				l Stage				
	application from the International B				- 5 -				
* 9	ee the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the cert	tified copies not	received.					
			•						
Attachmen	t(s)								
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	18)	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5)  Notice of I 6)  Other:	nformal Patent Application					
. upo	(-)/////		5) <u> </u>	<del></del> ·					

Application/Control Number: 10/567,472

Art Unit: 1625

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-5 are pending in the application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1-5, the term ---characterized in that ---- is vague. The applicants are suggested to use the term --- wherein ----

In claim 1, the term ---manufacturing of ---- is vague. The applicants are suggested to use the term --- preparing or preparation of ----.

In claim 1, last line, the applicant's are suggested to delete ----a polar aprotic solvent, e.g. ---- since the specification teaches using only acetonitrile and furthermore, there is no mention of any other polar aprotic solvent besides acetonitrile.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/567,472 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyasaka (U.S. Patent 4,604,463).

Miyasaka discloses a process for preparing 7-ethyl-10-[4-(1-piperdino)-1-piperdino]carbonyloxycamptothecin by reacting 7-ethyl-10-hydroxycamptothecin (compound of instant formula II) with 1-chlorocarbonyl-4-piperidinopiperidine (compounds of instant formula III, see example 28 in col. 25). The process of Miyasaka meets all the limitations of instant claims except that Miyasaka uses pyridine instead of instant 4-dimethylaminopyridine in the condensation reaction. However, the reactants (compounds of formulae II and III) and the product are identical in the process of Miyasaka and therefore, in absence of any unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use substituted pyridine instead of pyridine in the condensation process for preparing 7-ethyl-10-[4-(1-piperdino)-1-piperdino]carbonyloxycamptothecin.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/567,472 Page 4

Art Unit: 1625

(571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas McKenzie can be reached on (571)272-0670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charanjit S. Aulakh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625